

July 5, 1998

hep-th/9807039

RENORMALIZATION OF SPATIALLY INHOMOGENEOUS NONEQUILIBRIUM FIELD DYNAMICS

O. Yu. Shvedov ¹

*Chair of Quantum Statistics and Field Theory,
Department of Physics, Moscow State University
Vorobievsky gory, Moscow 119899, Russia*

Abstract

The problem of renormalization of the semiclassical one-loop equations used in the non-equilibrium field theory is considered. Recently, the renormalizability of such equations has been justified for some partial cases of classical field configurations. In this paper the general case of arbitrary spatially inhomogeneous field configuration is investigated. It is shown that for certain quantum states the divergences arising in one-loop equations can be eliminated by usual perturbation-theory counterterms.

¹olshv@ms2.inr.ac.ru, shvedov@qs.phys.msu.su

There are different approaches to the nonequilibrium field theory. One can consider various types of the semiclassical technique [1], the one-loop approximation [2, 3], the time-dependent Hartree-Fock method [2, 4] based on the variational Gaussian approach [5], the large- N nonequilibrium equations [6] based on the $1/N$ -expansion technique [7]. These approaches play an important role in quantum cosmology, as well as in particle physics.

Usually, only homogeneous mean field configurations are taken into account. For this case, the problem of renormalization of the nonequilibrium dynamics was considered in details [4, 2, 3].

However, the processes with inhomogeneous condensates are also very important [8], so that it is necessary to investigate the problem of renormalization of the inhomogeneous nonequilibrium field theory. Some examples of such a renormalization are investigated in ref.[8].

In this paper the renormalization procedure is considered for the general inhomogeneous field configurations. It will be shown that it is sufficient to use the usual perturbation-theory terms only in order to renormalize the one-loop equations.

Let us consider the Φ^4 -theory, the simplest (3+1)-dimensional renormalizable model with the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1 + \delta Z}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 - \frac{m^2 + \delta m^2}{2} \varphi^2 - \frac{\lambda + \delta \lambda}{24} \varphi^4 \quad (1)$$

depending on the small parameter λ being the coupling constant. Expression (1) contains the counterterms being calculable with the help of the perturbation theory,

$$\delta Z \sim \lambda^2, \delta m^2 \sim \lambda, \delta \lambda \sim \lambda^2. \quad (2)$$

A usual way to derive the one-loop nonequilibrium equations [2] is the following. One starts from the Heisenberg equations of motion

$$(1 + \delta Z) \partial_\mu \partial_\mu \hat{\varphi} + (m^2 + \delta m^2) \hat{\varphi} + \frac{\lambda + \delta \lambda}{6} \hat{\varphi}^3 = 0 \quad (3)$$

on the Heisenberg field operator $\hat{\varphi}(x)$. The next step is to consider the special (“semi-classical”) quantum states. One supposes that the average values of the quantum field $\hat{\varphi}$ is of order $O(1/\sqrt{\lambda})$, $\langle \hat{\varphi} \rangle = \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$, while the average values of all regular in λ functions of the difference $\hat{\varphi} - \langle \hat{\varphi} \rangle$ are of order $O(1)$. This choice of the quantum states corresponds to the Maslov complex-WKB theory [9]. Making use of this conjecture, one can decompose the quantum field $\hat{\varphi}$ into classical and quantum terms,

$$\hat{\varphi} = \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \hat{\psi}, \quad \langle \hat{\psi} \rangle = 0$$

Substituting this relation to eq.(3), one obtains

$$(1 + \delta Z)\partial_\mu\partial_\mu(\Phi + \sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\psi}) + (m^2 + \delta m^2)(\Phi + \sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\psi}) + \frac{1 + \delta\lambda/\lambda}{6}(\Phi + \sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\psi})^3 = 0 \quad (4)$$

To find the leading order of the mean field Φ , one should perform a limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in eq.(4). Using estimations (2), one obtains the classical equations of motion, $\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\Phi + m^2\Phi + \frac{\Phi^3}{6} = 0$. To study the next order of the mean field, $O(\lambda)$, one can average eq.(4), make use of eqs.(2), identity $\langle \hat{\psi} \rangle = 0$ and take into account only the terms of orders $O(1)$ and $O(\lambda)$,

$$\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\Phi + (m^2 + \delta m^2)\Phi + \frac{1 + \delta\lambda/\lambda}{6}\Phi^3 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\Phi \langle \hat{\psi}^2 \rangle = 0. \quad (5)$$

It is also necessary to find a leading order approximation for $\hat{\psi}$. Considering terms of order $O(\sqrt{\lambda})$ in eq.(4) and taking into account the classical equation, one finds the following equation of motion

$$\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\hat{\psi} + (m^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Phi^2)\hat{\psi} = 0. \quad (6)$$

The set (5), (6) called as one-loop equations is to be investigated.

For the case of spatially homogeneous mean field configurations, it is very useful to present the field operator $\hat{\psi}$ as a linear superposition of creation and annihilation operators, $\hat{\psi}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}}[a^-(\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{k}}(t)e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} + a^+(\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{k}}^*(t)e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}]$, $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$,

since the equations on $U_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ are independent for different values of the momentum $\mathbf{k}$. For spatially inhomogeneous configurations, this ansatz does not obey eq.(6), so that it is necessary to consider the functions $U_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ to be $\mathbf{x}$ -dependent,

$$\hat{\psi}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} [a^-(\mathbf{k}) U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} + a^+(\mathbf{k}) U_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{x}, t) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}]. \quad (7)$$

The quantum-field equation (6) implies the following relation on the coefficient functions $U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t)$:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \left[\left(\mathbf{k} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)^2 + m^2 + \frac{1}{2} f(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0. \quad (8)$$

where

$$f(\mathbf{x}, t) = \Phi^2(\mathbf{x}, t).$$

Since the transformation (7) should lead to usual canonical commutation relations,

$$[a^\pm(\mathbf{k}), a^\pm(\mathbf{p})] = 0, [a^-(\mathbf{k}), a^+(\mathbf{p})] = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}), \quad (9)$$

it is necessary to impose the following constraints on $U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} [U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t) U_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{y}, t) - U_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{x}, t) U_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}, t)] e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} &= 0. \\ \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} [\dot{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \dot{U}_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{y}, t) - \dot{U}_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{x}, t) \dot{U}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}, t)] e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} &= 0. \\ \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} [U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \dot{U}_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{y}, t) - U_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{x}, t) \dot{U}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}, t)] e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

being corollaries of the canonical commutation relations on the field $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and eqs.(9).

The conditions (10) are invariant under time evolution, so that it is sufficient to impose them at the initial time moment only. The average value $\langle \hat{\psi}^2 \rangle$ can be presented as a sum of vacuum and regular parts: $\langle \hat{\psi}^2 \rangle = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} |U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 + \langle : \hat{\psi}^2 : \rangle$. where $\langle : \hat{\psi}^2 : \rangle$ is finite. This implies that eq.(5) takes the form

$$\partial_\mu \partial_\mu \Phi + (m^2 + \delta m^2) \Phi + \frac{1 + \delta\lambda/\lambda}{6} \Phi^3 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Phi \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} |U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Phi \langle : \hat{\psi}^2 : \rangle = 0. \quad (11)$$

To investigate the divergences of (11), it is necessary to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of $U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ at large values of $|\mathbf{k}|$ up to $O(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-2})$.

There are several ways to construct the approximate solution to eq.(8) at large values of $|\mathbf{k}|$. For example, due to the WKB-approach [11], one can consider the substitution $U_{\mathbf{k}} = e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t}V_{\mathbf{k}}^+ + e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t}V_{\mathbf{k}}^-$ and consider the perturbation theory for $V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}$. Another approach is the following. One can apply the perturbation theory in Φ^2 [3]. Expanding the function $U_{\mathbf{k}}$,

$$U_{\mathbf{k}} = U_{\mathbf{k}}^0 + U_{\mathbf{k}}^1 + U_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \dots, \quad U_{\mathbf{k}}^0 = e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t},$$

one finds the following set of the recursive relations,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}U_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{x}, t) + \left[\left(\mathbf{k} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)^2 + m^2 \right] U_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{x}, t) = j_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (12)$$

where

$$j_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{2}f(\mathbf{x}, t)U_{\mathbf{k}}^{m-1}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$

There is a problem of imposing initial conditions [10] on the functions $U_{\mathbf{k}}$ obeying eqs.(8). The simplest choice is to impose a free theory initial conditions:

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \dot{U}_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, m \geq 1$$

The functions $U_{\mathbf{k}}^m$ can be expressed then via the right-hand side of eq.(12):

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{x}, t) = U_{\mathbf{k}}^{m+}(\mathbf{x}, t) + U_{\mathbf{k}}^{m-}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (13)$$

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^{m\pm}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \frac{e^{\pm i\omega_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}(t-\tau)}}{\pm 2i\omega_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}} j_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{y}, \tau)$$

for $t_0 = 0$. The integral over $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ can be taken by the stationary phase technique (see, for example, [11]). The stationary points of the phase $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \pm \omega_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}(t - \tau)$ are

$$\mathbf{p} = 0, \mathbf{y}_{\pm} = \mathbf{x} \pm \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t - \tau), \quad (14)$$

so that one should perform a substitution $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{s}\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}$, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_{\pm} + \mathbf{z}/\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}$ and expand the integrand in eq.(13) into a series in $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1/2}$.

However, to make the technical calculations easier, one can perform the following operational trick (cf.[11]) being equivalent to the direct stationary phase calculations. One can represent eq.(13) as follows,

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^{m\pm}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \frac{e^{\pm i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(t-\tau)}}{\pm 2i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3} \xi_{\mathbf{k}, t-\tau}(\mathbf{p}) j_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{x} \pm \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t-\tau) + i\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}}, \tau) e^{i\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y} \pm \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t-\tau))}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\xi_{\mathbf{k}, t-\tau}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}} e^{\pm i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{p}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}})(t-\tau)}$$

since the function $e^{i\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y} \pm \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t-\tau))}$ is an eigenfunction of the operator $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}}$ which corresponds to the eigenvalue $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \pm \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t-\tau)$. One should then integrate eq.(15) over $\mathbf{p}$ by parts,

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^{m\pm}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \frac{e^{\pm i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(t-\tau)}}{\pm 2i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y} \pm \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t-\tau))} j_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{x} \pm \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t-\tau) - i\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}}, \tau) \xi_{\mathbf{k}, t-\tau}(\mathbf{p}),$$

where the function ξ can be expanded in $1/\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$:

$$\xi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}, t-\tau) = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \pm \frac{i(t-\tau)}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \left[\mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{k})^2}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \right] + O(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-2}). \quad (16)$$

Since the integration over $\mathbf{y}$ gives us a $\delta(\mathbf{p})$, one finds the following expression:

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^{m\pm}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \frac{e^{\pm i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(t-\tau)}}{\pm 2i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} j_{\mathbf{k}}^m \left(\mathbf{x} \pm \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t-\tau) - i\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}}, \tau \right) \xi_{\mathbf{k}, t-\tau}(\mathbf{p})|_{\mathbf{p}=0}.$$

Expanding the operator $j_{\mathbf{k}}^m$ into a series in $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}}$, making use of eq.(16), one finds that

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^{m\pm}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \frac{e^{\pm i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(t-\tau)}}{\pm 2i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \left[j_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{y}_{\pm}, \tau) + \left(i\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}_{\pm}} \mp \frac{i(t-\tau)}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \left(\delta_{jn} - \frac{k_j k_n}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_{\pm}^j \partial y_{\pm}^n} \right) j_{\mathbf{k}}^m(\mathbf{y}_{\pm}, \tau) + O(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-2}) \right], \quad (17)$$

where we sum over repeated indeices, while $\mathbf{y}_{\pm}$ has the form (14).

Eq.(17) allows us to find the functions $U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ at larges $|\mathbf{k}|$. It follows from the first-order perturbation theory that the function $U_{\mathbf{k}}^{1-}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ can be expanded as

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^{1-}(\mathbf{x}, t) = e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t} \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \frac{1}{4i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} f(\mathbf{x} - \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t-\tau), \tau) - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} + O(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-3}) \right\},$$

where

$$\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \frac{1}{8\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}_-} f(\mathbf{x} - \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t - \tau), \tau).$$

The function $U_{\mathbf{k}}^{1+}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ presented as the integral over t of the rapidly oscillating function

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^{1+}(\mathbf{x}, t) = e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau e^{-2i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} \frac{1}{4i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \left[f(\mathbf{x} + \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t - \tau), \tau) + O(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}) \right]$$

is of order $O(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-2})$ because it is necessary to integrate the expression by parts,

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^{1+}(\mathbf{x}, t) = e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t} \left[-\frac{1}{8\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} f(\mathbf{x} + \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t - \tau), \tau) e^{-2i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} \Big|_{t_0}^t + O(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-3}) \right].$$

The leading order for $U_{\mathbf{k}}^2(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is saturated by $U_{\mathbf{k}}^{2-}$, $U_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = U_{\mathbf{k}}^{2-} + O(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-3})$, so that

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^2(\mathbf{x}, T) = -\frac{e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}T}}{16\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \int_{t_0}^T dt f(\mathbf{x} - \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(T - t), t) \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \Phi^2(\mathbf{x} - \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(T - \tau), \tau) + O(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-3}).$$

Combining all the terms, one finds the following expression for the solution to eq.(8) at larges $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \exp[-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t + \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \frac{1}{4i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} f(\mathbf{x} - \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}(t - \tau), \tau)] [1 - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{k}} - \frac{1}{8\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} f(\mathbf{x}, t)] \\ + \frac{1}{8\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} f(\mathbf{x} + \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}t, t_0) e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(t-2t_0)} + O(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-3}), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $f = \Phi^2$.

Since the integral of the full derivative vanishes, the divergent part of the fluctuation integral $\int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} |U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2$ can be written as

$$\int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} - \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{8\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^3} \Phi^2(\mathbf{x}, t) + \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{8\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^3} \Phi^2(\mathbf{x} + \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}t, 0) \cos(2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t) \quad (19)$$

One can notice that the divergent parts of the counterterms entering to eq.(11) should be the following:

$$\delta m^2 = -\frac{\lambda}{2(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}, \quad \delta \lambda = \frac{3\lambda^2}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{8\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^3}, \quad t \neq 0, \quad (20)$$

$$\delta m^2 = -\frac{\lambda}{2(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}, \quad \delta \lambda = 0, \quad t = 0. \quad (21)$$

However, the counterterms should be defined uniquely, so that one finds that there is a singularity at the initial moment of time [10].

This means that another choice of initial conditions for the functions $U_{\mathbf{k}}$ obeying relations (10) and eq.(8) is necessary. To construct such functions $U_{\mathbf{k}}$, one can use the Bogoliubov procedure of switching on the interaction [12]. Choose f in eq.(8) to be a smooth function obeying the following properties:

$$f(\mathbf{x}, t) = \begin{cases} \Phi^2(\mathbf{x}, t), & t \geq t_1 \quad (t_1 < 0) \\ 0, & t \geq t_2 \quad (t_2 < t_1) \end{cases}$$

instead of Φ^2 . Consider the solution to eq.(8) satisfying the following conditions:

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t_2) = U_{\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{x}, t_2), \quad \dot{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t_2) = \dot{U}_{\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{x}, t_2),$$

The canonical relations (10) are satisfied at $t_0 = t_2$ and at arbitrary moment of time as well. Original equation with $f = \Phi^2$ is also satisfied at $t > 0$. The asymptotic expansion for the solution $U_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given by eq.(18) for $t_0 = t_2$. Since $f(\mathbf{x}, t_0) = 0$, while $f = \Phi^2$ at $t > 0$, the latter terms in eq.(18) vanishes, so that the fluctuation integral has the form

$$\int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} |U_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} - \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{8\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^3} \Phi^2(\mathbf{x}, t) + \text{finite terms}.$$

The counterterms (20) calculable in the dimensional regularization [10] are sufficient then for eliminating all the divergences for this choice of the solution to eq.(8).

We see that there exists such a decomposition of the quantum field $\hat{\psi}$ into creation and annihilation parts that provides the renormalizability of the one-loop equation (5). The form of the classical field Φ is not important for performed derivations, since it was not necessary to find the eigenfunctions of eq.(8) as in [8].

The author is indebted to Ju.Baacke, F.Cooper, R.Jackiw, V.P.Maslov and D.V.Shirkov for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project 96-01-01544.

References

- [1] R.Jackiw, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **49** (1977) 681; R.Rajaraman, *Solitons and Instantons. An Introduction to solitons and instantons in quantum field theory.* (Amsterdam, Netherlands: North-Holland 1982); A.A. Grib, S.G. Mamaev and V.M. Mostepanenko, *Vacuum Quantum Effects in Strong Fields* (Atomizdat, Moscow, 1988).
- [2] D.Boyanyovskiy, H.J. de Vega and R.Holman, *Phys. Rev.* **D49** (1994) 2769; D.Boyanyovskiy, H.J. de Vega, R.Holman, D.S.Lee and A.Singh, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995) 4419.
- [3] Ju.Baacke, K.Heitmann and C.Pätzold, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 2320; *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 6556.
- [4] F.Cooper and E.Mottola, *Phys. Rev.* **D36**(1987) 3114; S.-Y.Pi and M.Samiullah, *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 3128.
- [5] R.Jackiw and A.Kerman, *Phys.Lett.* **A71** (1979) 158; F.Cooper, S.-Y.Pi and P.Stancioff, *Phys. Rev.* **D34** (1986) 3831; O.Eboli, R.Jackiw and S.-Y.Pi, *Phys. Rev.* **D37** (1988) 3557.
- [6] F. Cooper and E.Mottola, *Phys.Rev.* **D40** (1989) 456; A.Jevicki and H.Levine, *Ann.Phys.* **136** (1981) 113; Ju.Baacke, K.Heitmann and C.Pätzold, *Phys. Rev.* **D57** (1998) 6406; V.P.Maslov and O.Yu.Shvedov, *Doklady Akademii Nauk* **352** (1997) 36.
- [7] J.Cornwall, R.Jackiw, E.Tomboulis, *Phys.Rev.***D10** (1974) 2424; S.Coleman, R.Jackiw, H.Politzer, *Phys. Rev.***D10** (1974) 2491; L.Yaffe, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **54** (1982) 407.
- [8] D.Boyanyovskiy, F.Cooper, H.J.de Vega and P.Sonado, hep-ph/9802277.

- [9] V.P.Maslov, *The Complex-WKB Method for Nonlinear Equations*. (Moscow, Nauka, 1977); V.P.Maslov, O.Yu.Shvedov, hep-th/9709151, *Teor. Mat.Fiz.* **114** (1998) 233.
- [10] Ju.Baacke, K.Heitmann and C.Pätzold, *Phys.Rev.* **D57** (1998) 6398.
- [11] V.P.Maslov, *Perturbation theory and asymptotic methods* (Moscow, Moscow University Press, 1965); *Operational Methods* (Moscow, Nauka, 1973; Mir Publishers, 1976).
- [12] N.N. Bogoliubov and D.V. Shirkov, *Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields* (N.-Y.: Interscience Publishers, 1959).